ABSTRACT

Robust isotopic reconstructions of climate, elevation, and biology require a reasonable capture of the range of isotopic variability across a paleolandscape. Here, we illustrate how integrating multiple proxies derived from a variety of paleoenvironments aids in this effort. We determined δ18O and δ13C values from lake and soil carbonates, unionid shells, gar scales, and crocodile teeth from multiple depositional environments (lakes, soils, ponds, streams, and large rivers) spanning a 300 km proximal-to-distal transect within the Late Cretaceous foreland basin of Montana. Two major patterns emerge. First, quiet water environments display higher δ18O and lower δ13C values than large rivers, which indicates greater input from local precipitation compared to high-altitude runoff, and a relatively larger contribution of degraded vegetative matter to the dissolved inorganic carbon load. Second, proxies with seasonal biases toward late spring and summer display lower δ18O and δ13C values in the basin proximal setting compared to the distal coastal setting, which is linked to the rainout history of vapor masses moving across the foreland basin. Overall these isotopic patterns mirror those in modern catchments, support hypotheses of monsoonal rainfall within the basin, and suggest a hypsometric mean elevation of ~2.6 km within the Sevier orogenic belt. Furthermore, our results indicate a potential to subdivide freshwater paleoecosystems to refine paleobiologic studies of habitat preference and migration patterns.

INTRODUCTION

Stable isotopic records have proven an invaluable tool in paleoclimatic, paleogeographic, and paleobiologic reconstructions. Central to their application in the geologic record is understanding their systematic variation across modern landscapes. Initially, research focused on broad regional-to-global patterns in isotope compositions, for example the systematic decrease in δ18O values of meteoric precipitation with increasing latitude, elevation, and distance traveled into continental interiors (Dansgaard, 1964; Rozanski et al., 1993; Gat, 1996). This research has become increasingly detailed and refined, spanning the field of isoscapes (West et al., 2010). Proxy-derived isotopic data from the geologic record, however, are comparatively coarse in their spatial and temporal resolution, due to (1) proxies record surface waters instead of direct meteoric precipitation; (2) seasonal preferences in biologic or authigenic growth and biologic behavior patterns; (3) the unevenness in the preservation proxy material (i.e., fossils) in the rock record; and (4) the geographic limits on outcrop exposure. Here, we illustrate how to overcome some of these issues by directly integrating multiple proxies with sedimentology, alluvial architecture, and taphonomy. Though the use of multiple isotopic substrates is not necessarily novel (e.g., Mulch and Chamberlain, 2007; Clementz et al., 2009; Amiot et al., 2010), the direct integration of substrates with detailed descriptions of depositional environments and taphonomic history is more rare (but see Morgan et al., 2009).

Here, we explore the benefits of this approach using the Campanian foreland basin of Montana as a case study. We compare δ18O and δ13C values from freshwater unionid bivalve shells (superfamily Unionacea), gar scales (family Lepisosteidae), crocodile teeth (order Crocodylia), pedogenic carbonate nodules, and lacustrine carbonates derived from a diverse suite of lithofacies representing large trunk or main stem rivers, tributary streams, ponds, large lakes, and soils. The sample sites are constrained to 75 ± 1 Ma based on dated bentonite horizons (Rogers et al., 1993; Foreman et al., 2008), and thus represent a snapshot of paleohydrologic conditions across ~300 km in the basin. This multi-proxy, multi-depositional environment approach creates an isotopic framework that incorporates records with varying biologic behaviors (mobile vs. sessile, aquatic vs. semi-aquatic), placement in the paleohydrologic setting (in situ preservation vs. secondary transport and winnowing). We find isotopic patterns that mirror those in modern catchments, which allow us to characterize the basin-scale hydrology of the ancient landscape, better constrain the region’s paleoclimate, estimate paleoelavations of the Sevier orogenic belt, and identify the potential to refine habitat preference and migration patterns for paleobiologic studies.

GEOLoGIC SETTING

Exposures of the Two Medicine (TMF) and Judith River (JRF) Formations (Montana Group) span an ~300 km transect, encompassing both upstream and downstream portions of the Campanian foreland basin of Montana and Alberta (Fig. 1). Sediments preserved within these formations were shed from the Sevier fold-and-thrust belt and Cordilleran highlands to the west, transported by fluvial systems east-northeastward, and eventually deposited on alluvial plains, coastal plains, and into the epicontinental seaway of the Western Interior. The TMF contains fluvial sandstones of braided and meandering origin, a variety of interchannel facies including well-developed paleosol horizons, and rare, but widespread, occurrences of lacustrine intervals deposited in an up-dip alluvial plain (Rogers, 1998). Tectonic reconstructions place the TMF ~40 km to the east of the Sevier fold-and-thrust belt and Cordilleran highlands to the west, transported by fluvial systems east-northeastward, and eventually deposited on alluvial plains, coastal plains, and into the epicontinental seaway of the Western Interior. The TMF contains fluvial sandstones of braided and meandering origin, a variety of interchannel facies including well-developed paleosol horizons, and rare, but widespread, occurrences of lacustrine intervals deposited in an up-dip alluvial plain (Rogers, 1998). Tectonic reconstructions place the TMF ~40 km to the east of the Sevier fold-and-thrust belt near Wolf Creek, Montana, and ~140 km at the U.S.-Canadian border (Price and Fermor, 1986; Sears, 2001). The JRF is dominated by coastal plain environments including tidally influenced fluvial sandstones, carbonaceous pond and backwater facies, palaeosols displaying hydromorphic features, and shoreface sandstones, which directly interfinger with nearshore marine strata of the Western Interior Seaway (WIS) (Eberth and Hamblin, 1993; Rogers, 1998). The middle to upper portions of the TMF are time equivalent to the JRF based on
dated bentonite horizons (Rogers et al., 1993; Foreman et al., 2008); however, erosion over the Sweetgrass Arch has removed intervening strata (Fig. 1).

Sample Sites

**Two Medicine Formation Sites.**—Within the TMF type area an ~55-m-thick interval of lacustrine sediments crops out for ~15 km² through the drainage of the Two Medicine River, and is located ~230 m above a bentonite horizon dated at ~80 Ma (Rogers et al., 1993; Rogers, 1998). The lacustrine interval contains a basal carbonate-shale zone 10–20 m thick that underlies a zone of gray shales and minor sandstone beds. The carbonate beds range in thickness from 10 to 30 cm, but in some cases reach thicknesses of ~0.5 m. Carbonate layers are green, massive, and contain rare thromboids, charophytes, calcite vugs, occasional quartz grains, clay rip-ups, and chert stringers. The carbonate layers are interbedded with noncalcareous gray-green and purple massive shales and siltstones ranging in thickness from 10 cm to >1 m. The lacustrine interval is underlain and overlain by fluvial sandstones and paleosols throughout its extent.

Pedogenic carbonate samples are derived from paleosols directly above the lacustrine interval and from paleosols ~120 m stratigraphically higher and geographically ~35 km to the north (Fig. 1). TMF paleosol horizons are meter scale in thickness, red in color, mottled, and contain gray root traces. Pedogenic carbonate nodules derived from the paleosols range in diameter from 1 to 5 cm and were recovered in situ approximately 30 cm below the upper contact of the paleosol.

Approximately 50 meters below the Two Medicine Formation and Bearpaw Formation contact, an area of small fluvial sandstones, channel abandonment facies, and interchannel floodplain facies crops out near the U.S.-Canada border (Fig. 1). Fluvial sandstones are characteristically small in this area, typically 1–3 meters thick with traceable, lenticular margins. Siltstone lenses containing plant debris and burrows also crop out in the area. They are typically ~1 m thick, extend laterally between 33 and 76 m, and lie laterally adjacent as well as conformably overlie cross-bedded sandstones (Rogers, 1990). We group all surface-collected fossil samples (unionid, gar, crocodile) from this area into a general stream environment because they cannot be unequivocally tied to either the small fluvial sandstone or channel abandonment facies.

**Judith River Formation Sites.**—Unionid bivalve shells, gar scales, and crocodile teeth recovered from the JRF were derived from microfossil bone bed sites hosted in fluvial and pond facies in the coastal plain along the margin of the Western Interior Seaway (Rogers and Kidwell, 2000). Fluvial sites are typically sheetlike in geometry (hundreds of
meters wide), 2.5–10 m thick, and multistoried. They are composed of gray, fine-grained sandstones with low-angle inclined sets, small- to medium-scale trough cross-bedding, and planar tabular cross-bed sets locally. Carbonaceous and clay drapes are common. Basal contacts are erosional and upper contacts are gradational or erosional. The multistoried nature and larger geometry of the JRF fluvial sand bodies, in comparison to the TMF stream facies, suggest that these were main thoroughfares for sediment in the basin and drained a greater catchment area (Leopold and Maddock, 1953; Mulder and Syvitski, 1996). Thus we view JRF fluvial facies and TMF stream facies as representing main stem and tributary rivers, respectively.

Unionid shells, gar scales, and crocodile teeth are found within lag deposits typically 10 cm thick (Rogers and Kidwell, 2000). Unionid shells analyzed in this study were predominantly recovered in life position (i.e., with articulated valves and evidence of only minor breakage and wear) (Fig. 2A). In contrast, vertebrate material recovered from fluvial scours shows evidence of transport (i.e., abrasion, rounding, polishing) not present in pond-hosted vertebrate fossils (Rogers and Brady, 2010). Rogers and Brady (2010) propose vertebrate sites hosted within these fluvial sandstones represent reworked and winnowed accumulations originally deposited in pond facies (i.e., parautochthonous or allochthonous deposits), rather than reworked within the fluvial channel itself. Supporting this claim are multiple outcrop exposures of fluvial channels scoured subjacent pond facies with vertebrate material clearly draped along scour surfaces and traceable into the fossil-rich pond facies (Rogers and Kidwell, 2000; Rogers and Brady, 2010). Moreover, early diagenetic uptake patterns of rare earth elements into fossil material are identical between fluvial and pond facies, indicating shared early fossilization conditions and history (Rogers et al., 2010).

Samples were also recovered from microfossil bone beds in gray tabular carbonaceous shales and silty claystones. The deposits are typically ~1 m thick and mostly massive in structure, although laminated carbonaceous debris is common. Contorted bedding is also present in some areas. Basal and upper contacts are commonly sharp. The sites can be traced in outcrop for over 100 m, and are interpreted as paralic ponds or lakes in coastal fluvial backwaters. Fossil material is typically dispersed and contains a variety of terrestrial and aquatic animal remains such as dinosaur, crocodiles, *Champsosaurus*, fish, turtles, and small freshwater invertebrates (e.g. *Sphaerium*), high-spired gastropods, and fragments of unionid bivalves (Fig. 2B). These are viewed as autochthonous, atritional fossil accumulations, which were concentrated due to relatively slow sedimentation rates in this quiet-water setting (Rogers and Brady, 2010).

Prior to isotopic analyses, the mineralogy of carbonates and fossil material was determined using a PANalytical X’Pert PRO PW3040 x-ray diffractometer with a 2.2 kilowatt Cu-anode tube x-ray source. Samples were scanned from a start angle of 5°–65°, using a step size of 0.01671°, time per step of 10.160 seconds, and scan speed of 0.208891° per second, with a total of 3590 steps run in a continuous scan mode. The program High-Score Plus (PANalytical Inc., www.panalytical.com) was used for background reduction and Kα stripping before identification of mineral phases (see Supplementary Data for example spectrum and mineral identification).

Lacustrine and pedogenic carbonates were cut, polished, and their micritic cores sampled using a dental drill. Unionid bivalves were washed in distilled water multiple times and drilled vertically near the umbo of the shell. Aragonitic samples were roasted at 200 °C and reacted at ~77 °C with anhydrous phosphoric acid before introduction into a Finnigan MAT 253 triple-collector isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the University of Michigan. The ganoine from gar scales and enamel from crocodile teeth were also bulk sampled by drilling, avoiding dentine material. Approximately one mg was separated from each of the scales and teeth, soaked in 0.1 N acetic acid–calcium acetate buffered solution for 24 hours, rinsed in distilled water, and dried (methods after Koch et al., 1997). Samples were then powdered and reacted with dehydrated phosphoric acid under a vacuum (in the presence of Ag foil) before introduction to an automated carbonate preparation device (KIEL-III) coupled to the Finnigan MAT 253 mass spectrometer at 70 °C (University of Arizona) and 75 °C (University of Iowa). Oxygen and carbon isotope compositions reported are from the carbonate component of the hydroxyapatite. All analyses were normalized to international reference standards VPDB, VSMOW, NBS-19, NBS-18, and in-house standards. Results are reported in ‰ (VPDB) in standard δ notation, where δ = (Rsample/Rstandard – 1)*1000‰ and R is the ratio of 18O/16O or 13C/12C in the sample and standard. Precision for the analyses is better than 0.1‰. The data presented here consist of 120 new analyses supplemented with data from Fricke et al. (2008, 2009, 2010).

**METHODS**

**Estimating δ18O of Water**

In order to obtain and compare surface water δ18O estimates from the different proxies, the temperature effects during carbonate
precipitation and biologic hard-part construction must be taken into account. We used the linear regression of Kohn and Dettman (2007) between unionid shell δ18O and mean annual river water δ18O to estimate ancient water values (Table 1). This relationship is robust across multiple modern unionid taxa and river temperatures as long as mean annual temperature is >10°C, which was the case in the Campanian of Montana (Wolfe and Upchurch, 1987; Kohn and Dettman, 2007).

In estimating soil and lake water δ18O we used Campanian paleofloral estimates of mean annual temperature (MAT; 16°C) and mean warm month temperature (WMMT; 24°C) in Montana from Wolfe and Upchurch (1987). Modern soil temperatures at depth show reduced seasonality and are typically ~2.5°C warmer than MATs (Quade et al., 2007). Thus we calculated soil water δ18O (VSMOW) at 18.5°C (Friedman and O’Neil, 1977; Coplen et al., 1983) (Table 1). Lacustrine carbonate tends to form in the late spring and summer months as temperatures warm, thermocline development induces lake stratification, and increased photosynthetic activity induces supersaturation of calcium and carbonate ions within the epilimnion. Thus we used the WMMT of 24°C as an estimate for lacustrine carbonate precipitation (Table 1). For both pedogenic and lacustrine carbonates an uncertainty in temperature assignment of 5°C results in uncertainty in surface water δ18O of ~1‰. Hence soil water and lake water estimates (within 0.4‰ of each other) should be viewed as indistinguishable given this uncertainty. Moreover, individual samples should be seen as multi-year averages (potentially up to hundreds of years for soil carbonates), given our bulk sampling approach.

Currently, the fractionation equation between oxygen isotopes in the carbonate component of hydroxyapatite and surface water (or body water) is unknown for gar scales and crocodile teeth; however, fish and crocodiles form the phosphate component of hydroxyapatite and otoliths (in fish) in isotopic equilibrium with ambient water (Kolodny et al., 1983; Patterson et al., 1993; Amiot et al., 2007). Although we cannot explicitly estimate surface water δ18O from these samples, the variability within a given proxy (i.e., gar to gar, and crocodile to crocodile) should reflect variability in water δ18O values rather than temperature because gar experience optimum growth at warmer temperatures (>26°C) and crocodiles employ thermoregulatory behaviors to maintain warmer body temperatures (Coutant, 1977; Smith, 1979; Wismer and Christie, 1987). Thus gar and crocodile records will probably reflect warm month water δ18O values, whereas unionid shells will reflect year-round mean water δ18O values.

<p>| TABLE 1—Equations used in this study to calculate surface water δ18O. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>δ18O_{bulk} (VPMDB) = 0.89 * δ18O_{river water (VSMOW)} - 0.99</td>
<td>Kohn and Dettman (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ18O (VSMOW) = 1.0391 * δ18O (VPMDB) + 30.91</td>
<td>Coplen et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 ln α = (2.78 * 10^{5}) * T^{-2} - 2.89</td>
<td>Friedman and O’Neil (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>𝜋 = (1000 + δ18O_{CO32-} (VSMOW)) / (1000 + δ18O_{HCO32-} (VSMOW))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T = temperature in degrees Kelvin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS**

**Diagenetic Assessment**

Isotopic compositions of the proxies are interpreted to be largely unaltered. Thin sections of lacustrine carbonates and pedogenic carbonate nodules have a microcrystalline texture of micron-sized, interlocking, equant calcite crystals, a mineralogy confirmed using x-ray diffractometry, and indicate that minimal recrystallization occurred. X-ray diffraction of the unionid bivalves indicates they are composed of aragonite (>99%). Shells display a mother-of-pearl luster and well-preserved growth laminae. Gar scales, crocodile teeth, and hadrosaur teeth are also inferred to record primary isotopic signals, as they are closely associated (within centimeters in some cases) with primary aragonitic bivalves. Ganoine and enamel from scales and teeth are more diagenetically stable than the aragonite shells owing to larger size and denser packing of crystals as well as the reduced percentage of organic components in the hydroxyapatites (Nelson et al., 1986; Kohn and Cerling, 2002). Thus it seems unlikely that the aragonite would remain unaltered whereas the enamel and ganoine would be altered. Fricke et al. (2008) provide a detailed diagenetic evaluation of Campanian fossil sites in the Two Medicine, Judith River, and Dinosaur Park Formations, which partially overlap with sites studied here. They examined stable isotope compositions from gar scales, dinosaur teeth, and authigenic minerals, and concluded the taxonomic offsets, enamel versus dentine isotopic values, and mineralogy indicated preservation of primary isotopic signals (Fricke et al., 2008).

**Isotopic Results**

Values of δ18O and δ13C segregate carbonate sediments and biogenic hard parts by depositional environment and by geographic position in the foreland basin (Figs. 3A–B). Values for individual samples can be found in the Supplementary Data 1. Mean δ18O and δ13C values for the different proxies and environments are provided in Table 2. Pedogenic and lacustrine carbonate values substantially overlap (Fig. 3A). A two-tailed t-test cannot distinguish between the two populations for δ13C values (p-value = 0.82), but can for δ18O values (p-value = 0.02); however, this statistical difference does not account for temperature uncertainties or variability during calcite precipitation, meaning the two groups should be considered equivalent. Bivalve shells fall into three groups based on δ18O and δ13C values (Fig. 3A, Table 2). Unionid bivalves in Group 1 are derived from the fluvial sandstones and some coastal ponds of the JRF, with one outlier from the TMF stream facies. Two of the unionid bivalves in Group 2 are from coastal ponds of the JRF and one is from large fluvial sandstones of the JRF. Unionid bivalves from Group 3 are only found in stream facies of the TMF and coastal ponds of the JRF. These groupings are statistically significant based on single factor ANOVA for both δ18O and δ13C (p-value < 0.05). Overall the quiet-water facies (ponds, lakes, soils) are characterized by higher δ18O values and lower δ13C values than large fluvial facies. Lakes and soil carbonates are characterized by slightly lower δ18O values from Group 3 unionid, but substantially lower δ13C values from both fluvial and pond unions.

Gar scales and crocodile teeth display a wide range of stable isotope compositions, but segregate by the geologic formation of origin (Fig. 3B). Mean values are shown in Table 2. The results show a proximal-to-distal pattern in δ18O and δ13C values, with both gar and crocodile material displaying lower values in the up-dip alluvial plain of the TMF. Two-tailed t-tests support the isotopic offset between the formations for both δ18O and δ13C values of gar scales and crocodile teeth (p-values < 0.05). Unlike the unionid data sets, gar scales obtained from different facies in the JRF (pond and large river) do not display substantially different values (Fig. 3B), and a two-tailed t-test cannot distinguish between pond and fluvial gar-scale data sets (p-value = 0.28 for δ18O values; p-value = 0.86 for δ13C values).

Mean water estimates for the unionid groupings are presented in Table 2 and individual sample estimates in the Supplementary Data 1. The surface water estimates represent multi-year means, as our bulk sampling method mixes material from multiple shell annuli (Dettman et al., 1999).
CATCHMENT STRUCTURE

Within our sedimentologic framework, isotopic patterns from authigenic carbonates and unionid shells mimic those found within modern catchments. The δ18O value of river water represents an amount-weighted mean of precipitation across modern catchments (Dutton et al., 2005). River water is negatively offset from local precipitation due to runoff contributions from higher elevations, yet the smaller the catchment the more closely river water δ18O values match local precipitation δ18O values (Garzione et al., 2000; Dutton et al., 2005; Rowley and Garzione, 2007). This is consistent with the δ18O offset between the main stem rivers and streams in our study. Lake and pond water δ18O values in modern catchments are heavily dependent on the hydrologic input (i.e., direct rainfall, fluvial, or groundwater) and the degree of evaporation, which leads to higher δ18O values (Templet et al., 1989; Snedden et al., 1999). Moreover, water bodies with turbulent and fast flows typically display higher δ13C values than stagnant water due to increased outgassing and reduced respiration of organic matter in the former (Finlay et al., 1999). Indeed these are the general δ13C patterns observed in our isotopic records (Figs. 3A–B).

Values of δ13C also mimic modern catchment patterns. The δ13C value of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in river water typically shows a downstream shift toward lower values as vegetation becomes denser and weatherable carbonate rock exposures rarer (Aucour et al., 1999; Yang et al., 1996). Moreover, water bodies with turbulent and fast flows typically display higher δ13C DIC values than stagnant water due to increased outgassing and reduced respiration of organic matter in the former (Finlay et al., 1999). Indeed these are the general δ13C patterns observed in our isotopic records (Figs. 3A–B). Although the specific biologic effects on carbon isotope fractionation are unknown in modern unionids, shell δ13C values generally correlate with DIC (Dettman et al., 1999). The δ13C values in our study indicate a greater proportion of respired organic matter in ponds as compared to rivers (Fig. 3A). Lake and soil carbonates display the lowest δ13C values of the environments sampled and are consistent with an exclusively degraded organic matter source to water DIC.

Gar and crocodile records show a different pattern, and there are two potential explanations for the discrepancy. Gar and crocodiles may have moved among different portions of the fluvial system, coastal ponds, and even the Western Interior Seaway during growth, thereby spatially averaging the respective isotopic signatures of these environments. In this case, the proximal-distal gradient reflects that gar and crocodile in the TMF are not migrating to the seaway and incorporating its higher δ18O and δ13C signature, which is consistent with the typical modern geographic ranges of the taxa (e.g., Hutton, 1989; Schedeen et al., 1999). Moreover, it implies that this biologic filter has eliminated primary, in situ environmental isotopic variability, which should be kept in mind when these proxies are used for climatic or elevation reconstructions. The second explanation attributes the isotopic patterns to the season of scale and tooth growth, with no movement between environments. Because there will be minimal difference in the temperature of scale and tooth growth in the two geographic areas, as explained above, the proximal-distal gradient in the foreland basin may reflect a gradient in precipitation δ18O values, potentially due to monsoons or elevation differences (discussed further below). This second case invokes the allochthonous taphonomic history of fluvially hosted vertebrate material (Rogers and Brady, 2010) to explain why gar scales do not show the same isotopic offset between JRF river and pond environments observed in autochthonous unionid shell records from the two environments.

TABLE 2—Summary statistics of isotope data. JRF = Judith River Formation; TMF = Two Medicine Formation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Mean δ18O %o (VPDB)</th>
<th>One std. dev.</th>
<th>Mean δ18O %o (VSMOW)</th>
<th>One std. dev.</th>
<th>Mean δ13C %o (VPDB)</th>
<th>One std. dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unionid shells (Group 1) (n = 54)</td>
<td>−16.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>−17.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unionid shells (Group 2) (n = 3)</td>
<td>−12.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>−13.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unionid shells (Group 3) (n = 13)</td>
<td>−8.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>−8.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedogenic carbonate (n = 25)</td>
<td>−10.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>−9.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>−7.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacustrine carbonate (n = 47)</td>
<td>−10.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>−9.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>−7.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMF gar scales (n = 17)</td>
<td>−11.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRF gar scales (n = 15)</td>
<td>−9.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMF crocodile teeth (n = 5)</td>
<td>−13.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>−7.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRF crocodile teeth (n = 5)</td>
<td>−9.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>−2.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Paleoclimatic Implications

The paleoclimate of the Campanian foreland basin of Montana is characterized by a humid coastal plain (JRF) and a semi-arid, up-dip alluvial plain (TMF). The JRF contains abundant carbonaceous material, hydromorphic paleosols, and pollen taxa indicative of humid conditions (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1987, 1988; Rogers, 1998). The semi-arid TMF contains deapareute palynological assemblages, drought-related death assemblages of dinosaurs, growth interruptions in conifer remains, and carbonate-bearing paleosols (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1987, 1988; Rogers, 1990; Falcon-Lang, 2003). Furthermore, alternation between carbonate and shale deposition within the lacustrine deposits suggests seasonality in temperature and precipitation (Fig. 4).

Our isotopic results do not contradict the above climatic conditions, although we do not find evidence of excessive evaporative conditions in the Two Medicine Formation. We do not observe covariation in $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values within the lacustrine samples, which commonly occurs in closed lake systems under evaporative conditions (Fig. 4; Talbot, 1990), nor are pedogenic carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values consistent with water-stressed vegetation. Typical modern soil carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values in areas dominated by C3 vegetation fall between $-9\%$o and $-15\%$o (VPDB) (Cerling and Quade, 1993; Koch, 1998), but aridity causes $\delta^{13}C$ in vegetation to shift to higher values as plants keep their stomata closed for longer periods of time, decreasing the discrimination against $13C$ and leading to higher pedogenic carbonate $\delta^{13}C$ values (e.g., Farquhar et al., 1989). While our pedogenic carbonates display higher values on average ($-7.8\%$o), this value likely reflects the fact that the $\delta^{13}C$ value of the Cretaceous atmosphere was $1\%$o–$2\%$o higher than that of modern Earth rather than an evaporation signal (Barrera and Savin, 1999; Hasegawa et al., 2003).

Furthermore, soil water $\delta^{18}O$ estimates fall within the predicted range for the paleolatitude of the TMF. Using the empirical relationship of Dutton et al. (2005) relating the $\delta^{18}O$ of precipitation to latitude and an estimated paleolatitude of $\sim 54^\circ$N for the sample sites (Besse and Courtillot, 2002), we obtain an estimate of $-9.8\%$o (VSMOW) for local precipitation. Adding a correction of $-1.2\%$o to account for lower $\delta^{18}O$ value for Cretaceous seawater compared to modern (Shackleton and Kennett, 1975) yields an estimate of $-11.0\%$o. Substantial evaporation would display a positive offset from these predicted values, but our estimates from pedogenic carbonates fall within this range, $-10.5\%$o $\pm 0.8\%$o (VSMOW). The positive offset between pond/stream and soil/lake-water $\delta^{18}O$ values, however, could potentially reflect evaporation from these smaller water bodies. Alternatively, it could reflect seasonal difference in the $\delta^{18}O$ of rainfall, with lake and soil water recording lower $\delta^{18}O$ values associated with enhanced, potentially monsoonal, summer precipitation.

Fricke et al. (2010) proposed a strong monsoon operating within the Western Interior during the Campanian, with vapor masses moving westward across the foreland basin toward the Sevier orogenic belt, based on an atmospheric circulation model and isotopic records. Similar to their isotopic record, we observe a bimodal $\delta^{18}O$ pattern between quiet-water facies and trunk river facies, indicating high elevations sufficient to drive convective circulation. Whereas unionid shell records show no proximal-to-distal geographic pattern, however, authigenic carbonates, gar, and crocodile records show a distinct shift to lower $\delta^{18}O$ in basin-proximal sample sites. Assuming this signal is related to environmental variability (i.e., not due to biologic movement among environments), there are three possible causes for this pattern. The first is the continent effect, wherein vapor masses become progressively enriched in $^{18}O$ as they move inland and $^{18}O$ is preferentially incorporated into the condensate (Rozanski et al., 1993). Across modern landmasses this effect is roughly $1.5\%$o–$2.0\%$o per 1000 km (Rozanski et al., 1993). For the relatively narrow (300 km) foreland basin, however, this can only account for approximately $0.45\%$o–$0.6\%$o of the proximal-distal offset.

A difference in elevation could also account for the proximal-distal offset, as vapor masses are deflected to higher altitudes and Rayleigh distillation occurs (Dansgaard, 1964; Rozanski et al., 1993; Rowley, 2007). We can independently estimate the elevation difference using typical slopes for modern alluvial rivers. The steepest, gravel-dominated braided rivers have slopes on the order of $10^{-3}$ (Church, 2006), and projecting this across the foreland basin results in an elevation difference of 300 m between the TMF and JRF. This value is an upper estimate of elevation, as typical TMF and JRF rivers carried fine- to medium-grained sand and were more likely to be meandering than braided in planform (Rogers, 1998). By applying the lapse rate of Dutton et al. (2005) to this elevation difference we can account for only $0.87\%$o of the proximal-to-distal offset. Thus neither continentality nor elevation is sufficient to explain the offset. Furthermore, it is difficult to explain why the offset would be different for the different proxies and not uniform among them. For ponds to lakes it is $2.2\%$o, for gar scales, $1.3\%$o, and for crocodiles, $4.5\%$o.

The third potential cause is the amount effect of Rozanski et al. (1993), typically observed in monsoon-dominated systems, wherein there is an inverse relationship between the $\delta^{18}O$ of precipitation and the amount of precipitation rained out in a region. In this scenario summer monsoonal precipitation across the foreland basin will be enriched in $^{18}O$ yielding lower $\delta^{18}O$ values in authigenic carbonate, gar, and crocodile records, all of which are growth-biased toward warmer months. The differential offsets among the proxies reflect different optimum growth periods and thermoregulatory behaviors during the late spring and summer months. The lack of a proximal-distal gradient in unionid shell $\delta^{18}O$ values reflects a yearly uniform mean value in proximal and distal locations, a feature observed in the Southeast Asian monsoon system (Araguás-Araguás et al., 1998). In future studies this hypothesis will be further tested using seasonal microsampling of the unionid shell material.

Paleoelevation Implications

Many studies have relied upon $\delta^{18}O$ values to reconstruct ancient topographies and identify the timing of uplift in major orogenic belts (Chamberlain and Pouge, 2000; Garzione et al., 2000, 2006; Rowley and Garzione, 2007). In North America this method has been applied mostly to orogenic systems where atmospheric circulation patterns are well constrained and there is a single, dominant vapor source for precipitation such as in the Basin and Range (Horton and Chamberlain, 2006), Sierra Nevada (Mulch et al., 2006), and Cascades (Kohn et al., 2002). Similar paleolimnology studies are limited within the Western Interior during the Late Cretaceous because of uncertainties associated with the trajectories of frontal systems across foreland basins and air mass mixing from Gulf of Mexico, polar, and Pacific sources. Constraining these precipitation and circulation patterns is further complicated due to temporal fluctuations in the geographic extent of the seaway and topographic modification due to Sevier or Laramide uplift events. These uncertainties have been partially overcome recently by comparing proxy-derived isotopic records with modern spatial patterns in precipitation $\delta^{18}O$ values and paleoatmospheric circulation models (Kent-Corson et al., 2006; Fan and Dettman, 2009; Poulsen et al., 2007; Fricke et al., 2010).

While there are many elevation lapse rates presented in the literature (Rowley, 2007 and references therein), we use a lapse rate of $-2.9\%/\text{km}$ from Dutton et al. (2005), based on meteoric $\delta^{18}O$ patterns in North America. The $\delta^{18}O$ offset between pond and trunk river water is $8.9\%$o, yielding an estimate of 3.1 km for the hysometric mean elevation of the foreland basin’s catchment, including the Sevier orogenic belt. Couched within this estimate are a number of assumptions, however. First, we assume that pond $\delta^{18}O$ values are representative of local
precipitation and not evaporatively enriched in $^{18}$O. If instead we use soil carbonates in estimating local precipitation $\delta^{18}$O values ($-9.5\%_o$ VSMOW), as is typical of many studies, an estimate of 2.6 km is obtained. Thus, there could be a 0.5 km difference or $\sim16\%$ additional uncertainty in elevation estimates depending on whether or not multiple proxies were sampled and analyzed. Furthermore, the main stem rivers undoubtedly received water from local precipitation and tributary streams as they flowed across the foreland basin on the way to empty.

FIGURE 4—Stratigraphic section through lacustrine carbonate interval of Two Medicine Formation showing secular patterns in $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{13}$C. Inset of $\delta^{18}$O versus $\delta^{13}$C bivariate plot of lacustrine data shows little covariation, suggesting a hydrologically open lake system.
into the seaway, which would have diluted the high-altitude runoff $\delta^{18}O$ signal.

There remains a large offset between quiet-water facies and fluvial facies even in the coastal JRF, however, which is uncommon within modern landscapes (Dutton et al., 2005). This observation requires that: (1) the vast majority of fluvial water was derived from precipitation at or above ~2.6 km, (2) the $\delta^{18}O$ value of fluvial water was substantially lower ($<-17.1\%o$) when it first entered the foreland basin, or (3) fluvial waters obtained a large fraction of their water from runoff during $^{16}O$-enriched, monsoonal precipitation in the basin. We cannot explicitly exclude any of the three; however, the first scenario simply requires strong, asymmetric orographic precipitation focused on the eastern slope of the Sevier orogenic belt displaced from the central drainage divide. This precipitation pattern is common to modern large orogenic systems (i.e., those >40 km wide and 1.5 km high; Roe, 2005), and is broadly supported by the Campanian circulation models of Fricke et al. (2010). If instead fluvial water $\delta^{18}O$ values were substantially lower when they first entered the foreland basin, either the mean elevation of the Sevier orogenic belt was unrealistically high (i.e., equal to or greater than the modern Tibetan plateau) or rivers partially obtained water from a Pacific air mass source. Mid-Cretaceous circulation models of Poulsen et al. (2007), which incorporate a water isotope module into rainfall patterns, indicate exceedingly negative $\delta^{18}O$ values in the Sevier orogenic belt as Pacific air masses moved eastward. These air masses may have traveled across the drainage divide and rained out highly $^{18}O$-enriched precipitation, or alternatively, rivers may have tapped far into the orogenic belt, similar to drainage systems for some Laramide lake systems, to obtain an exceptionally low $\delta^{18}O$ value (Carroll et al., 2008; Davis et al., 2008; Doebbert et al., 2010). Finally, if circulation models are correct and an active monsoon was operating within the Western Interior, seasonal variation in $\delta^{18}O$ was likely strong. For example, the modern Indian monsoon causes 9% seasonal fluctuation in New Delhi (Rowley, 2007). Indeed, Poulsen et al.’s (2007) circulation model suggests that $2\%o$–$3\%o$ of precipitation $\delta^{18}O$ values are caused by the amount effect associated with large precipitation events. The offset between JRF coastal ponds and TMF lake and soil water falls within this range ($2.2\%o$) and is consistent with the seasonal bias in the samples, i.e., lake and soil water derived from the summer months during the monsoon, whereas unionid shells in ponds record a multiyear average $\delta^{18}O$ of pond water spanning both monsoonal and non-monsoonal precipitation events. If foreland basin river systems had longer residence times, a portion of these low $\delta^{18}O$ values could be related to monsoonal precipitation rather than representing an elevation signal (Rowley, 2007).

Paleobiology

Modern organisms show distinct patterns in $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$, and other isotopic systems that correlate with the environmental setting they inhabit (e.g., terrestrial, freshwater aquatic, estuarine, marine aquatic; Kelly, 2005; Clementz and Koch, 2001). These patterns are exploited in the fossil record to determine the habitat preferences of taxa without extant relatives or analogues, identify paleoniche partitioning, and track evolutionary transitions within a taxonomic group among habitats (Koch et al., 1998; Clementz et al., 2006, 2009; Morgan et al., 2009; Amiot et al., 2010). The results from our study indicate that it is possible to further subdivide freshwater settings and ecosystems for more resolved determinations of habitat preference if a wide range of depositional environments and sessile, autochthonous isotopic records (i.e., authigenic carbonates and unionid shells) are targeted for sampling.

Within the paleocatchment framework it should be possible to determine if and to what degree aquatic and semi-aquatic organisms are visiting the different freshwater sub-environments either seasonally or through ontogeny by sub-sampling biominerals along growth structures. For example, Fricke et al. (2009) find a proximal-distal offset in $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values (3.3$%o$ and 3.2$%o$, respectively) of hadrosaurid dinosaur tooth enamel across the Campanian foreland basin of Montana as well as further south across Utah and New Mexico. They argue against seasonal, long-distance migration within the foreland basin for nesting or feeding purposes, as hypothesized by previous authors (e.g., Horner and Makela, 1979). If migration were common the two sampling areas would show a more bimodal distribution of $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values with a portion of TMF hadrosaur teeth displaying JRF-type values and vice versa. Fricke et al. (2009) argue that the proximal-distal offset must be due to different environmental conditions in the upland and lowland regions of the foreland basins. Here, we have argued against elevation and continental effects on $\delta^{18}O$ values within the basin using independent sedimentologic data and have shown similar multi-year mean values in surface-water conditions in the two portions of the foreland basin with bulk-sampled unionid shells. Thus, only two hypotheses remain to explain the proximal-distal offset: (1) the isotopic difference between upland and lowland hadrosaur tooth enamel is seasonal in nature and related to the monsoon; or (2) hadrosaurs were preferentially living closer to fluvial channels in the TMF, where $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ environmental values would be lower, to avoid semi-arid conditions of the floodplain. Currently we favor a monsoonal cause because these samples are associated with small streams and ponds and the offset is consistent among multiple proxies (i.e., hadrosaurs, gar scales, and crocodile teeth). Future work sub-sampling tooth enamel, scale ganoine, and shell material will better resolve the seasonal variability in $\delta^{18}O$ values of precipitation. If hadrosaur, gar, and crocodile values are truly a seasonal signal, they should be cyclical in nature rather than punctuated events (Stanton Thomas and Carlson, 2004).

CONCLUSIONS

This study integrated stable isotope records with alluvial architecture, sedimentology, and taphonomy. Our multi-depositional environment and multi-proxy approach allowed us to reconstruct the major components of the catchment structure within the Campanian foreland basin of Montana. We document nearly uniform multi-year average $\delta^{18}O$ values across the 300 km basin; however, proxy records with a summer growth bias show a distinct shift toward lower $\delta^{18}O$ values in the proximal portions of the basin. This proximal-distal gradient is consistent with hypotheses asserting the strong dominance of monsoonal precipitation in the Western Interior. Moreover, we document an extremely negative $\delta^{18}O$ signal imparted to large, main stem rivers by runoff from high elevation in the Sevier orogenic belt. The proximal-distal pattern is only apparent when all major components of the catchment are sampled and we show how some proxies may not capture the full isotopic range of variability, due not only to biologic behaviors, but also taphonomic history. While biologic behavior and isotopic fractionation patterns have been consistently taken into account in the literature (see e.g., Kohn and Dettman, 2007 and references therein), taphonomic history (with the exception of diagenetic history) and alluvial architecture are more rarely considered, although their incorporation may lend important, independent support for paleoclimatic and paleoelevation reconstructions.
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