Gap Year Research Consortium

Results of the Gap Year Surveys & Academic Achievement Models

Kevin Rask, *College Research Professor* Colorado College 6/1/20



Academic Achievement Research

- > Schools: Colorado College, Denison, Duke, Tufts
- > Regression Models of First-Semester, First-Year, Sophomore, Junior GPA
- > Models: $GPA = A + \beta_1 Gap Year + e$ $GPA = A + \beta_1 Gap Year + \beta_2 Academic Rating + e$

$$GPA = A + \beta_1 Gap Year + \beta_2 Academic Rating + \sum_{i=3}^{n} \beta_i Socio Demographics + e$$

First-Semester GPA Model Results:

	School #1		School #2		School #3		School #4	
<u>Variables</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>
Gap Year	0.14	0.02	0.12	0.51				
R ²	0.4%		0.01%					
Gap Year	0.16	0.00	0.14	0.26			0.14	0.00
AR	0.21	0.00	0.20	0.00			0.02	0.00
R ²	2 22%		17%				17%	
Gap Year*	0.15	0.00	0.11	0.37			0.12	0.00
AR*	0.21	0.00	0.18	0.00			0.02	0.00
R ²	2 23%		24%				24%	

^{*} From models with the full set of sociodemographic & curricular controls

First-Year GPA Model Results:

	School #1		School #2		School #3		School #4	
<u>Variables</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	Estimate	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>
Gap Year	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.53	0.10	0.00		
R	R ² 0.3%		0.02%		0.3%			
Gap Year	0.15	0.01	0.16	0.23	0.11	0.00	0.15	0.00
AR	0.21	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00
R	R ² 20%		19%		17%		20%	
Gap Year*	0.13	0.02	0.12	0.30	0.09	0.00	0.11	0.00
AR*	0.20	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00
R	R ² 22%		25%		21%		29%	

^{*} From models with the full set of sociodemographic & curricular controls

Sophomore Year GPA Model Results:

	School #1		School #2		School #3		School #4		
<u>Variables</u>	<u>Es</u>	<u>timate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>
Gap Year		0.12	0.09	-0.08	0.63	0.08	0.10		
R	? 2	0.2%		0.2%		0.2%			
Gap Year		0.15	0.03	0.02	0.89	0.05	0.37	0.005	0.92
AR		0.18	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00
R	? 2	12%		13%		12%		13%	
Gap Year*		0.13	0.05	0.01	0.94	0.07	0.18	-0.03	0.52
AR*		0.16	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00
R	? 2	14%		18%		18%		19%	

^{*} From models with the full set of sociodemographic & curricular controls

Junior Year GPA Model Results:

	School #1		Scho	School #2		School #3		School #4	
<u>Variables</u>	<u>Estimo</u>	<u>ıte</u> <u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>P-Value</u>	
Gap Year	0.0	9 0.25	0.46	0.42	0.02	0.68			
F	R^2 0.1	%	0.1%		0.01%				
Gap Year	0.1	0.13	0.59	0.31	0.05	0.40	0.04	0.54	
AR	0.1	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00	
F	R^2 9%	í	3%		12%		13%		
Gap Year*	0.1	0.22	0.65	0.26	0.05	0.39	0.03	0.70	
AR*	0.1	6 0.00	0.13	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	
F	$R^2 = 119$	6	7%		17%		17%		

^{*} From models with the full set of sociodemographic & curricular controls

Academic Research Take-Aways:

FS-GPA

·Strong evidence of gap year over performance in the first semester in 2 of 3 schools

- ·Over performance roughly .15 GPA units
- ·Relatively large effect (third of a grade) when compared to other estimates (gender effect, income effects, etc.)
- ·Academic rating consistently predictive across all schools

FY-GPA

·Strong evidence of gap year over performance in the first year in 3 of 4 schools

- ·Over performance ranges from .09 .15 GPA units
- ·R² remain relatively high and similar to first-semester models

- ·Gap year over performance only evident in 2 of the 4 schools, and in one the evidence is weaker
- ·Point estimates stay fairly stable compared to previous GPA models, effects still in the .08 .15 range
- ·R² begin to fall relative to the first year models as other factors (not in the models) explain more of academic performance
- ·Academic rating continues to be predictive and estimates are similar to previous models.

Soph.-GPA

Jr.-GPA

·Gap year effects have largely disappeared by the junior year, only weaker evidence in 1 of the 4 schools

- ·The magnitude of the estimated gap year effect has similarly dropped by the junior year
- $\cdot R^2$ continue to fall relative to the earlier models
- ·Academic rating continues to be predictive and estimates are similar to all previous models.

Questions?